



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT Rec'd PCT/PTO 6 SEP 2005

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference B020462WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/JP2003/013739	International filing date (day/month/year) 27 October 2003 (27.10.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 07 November 2002 (07.11.2002)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC B29C 65/44			
Applicant KANEKA CORPORATION			

1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3 sheets, including this cover sheet.

3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:

a. (sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of _____ sheets, as follows:

sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).

sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.

b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) _____, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).

4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I	Basis of the report
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II	Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 27 May 2004 (27.05.2004)	Date of completion of this report 07 February 2005 (07.02.2005)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

Box No. I Basis of the report

1. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

- This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language _____, which is language of a translation furnished for the purpose of:
- international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
 publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)
 international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)

2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):

- The international application as originally filed/furnished

- the description:

pages _____, as originally filed/furnished

pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____

pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____

- the claims:

pages _____, as originally filed/furnished

pages* _____, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19

pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____

pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____

- the drawings:

pages _____, as originally filed/furnished

pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____

pages* _____ received by this Authority on _____

- a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.

3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

the description, pages _____

the claims, Nos. _____

the drawings, sheets/figs _____

the sequence listing (specify): _____

any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): _____

4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).

the description, pages _____

the claims, Nos. _____

the drawings, sheets/figs _____

the sequence listing (specify): _____

any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify): _____

* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP03/13739

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Claims _____ 1-8 YES

Claims _____ NO

Inventive step (IS)

Claims _____ YES

Claims _____ 1-8 NO

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims _____ 1-8 YES

Claims _____ NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Document 1: WO, 01-32418, A1 (Kaneka Corp.), 10 May, 2001 (10.05.01), the claims

Document 2: JP, 7-85923, B2 (Toyo Kohan Co., Ltd.), 20 September, 1995 (20.09.95), the claims, paragraph [0003]

Document 3: JP, 2002-283517, A (Daikin Industries, Ltd.), 3 October, 2002 (03.10.02), paragraph [0011]

Document 4: JP, 8-314148, A (Canon Inc.), 29 November, 1996 (29.11.96), the claims, paragraphs [0024]-[0029]

Claims 1-8

The subject matters of claims 1-8 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1-3 cited in the ISR and newly cited document 4.

Document 1 describes a method for producing a laminated plate by sticking a metallic foil to a thermally fusible heat resistant film. As described in documents 2 and 3, waviness caused by the temperature difference in the width direction in a laminated plate production method is a well-known problem to be solved. Furthermore, document 4 describes establishing a temperature difference between the edge portions and a central portion of a resin coating film while it is dried. So, a person skilled in the art could have easily treated the laminated plate obtained by sticking of document 1 in such a manner that the temperature at the edge portions becomes higher than that at the central portion as described in document 4.